

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/812,817	MORI, SHIGERU	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2827	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Being allowed.

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ronald Coslick (Reg. 36,489).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 August 2003

**Time:** -

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 7-10

Prior art documents discussed:

*Susko et al., US Patent No. 6,177,728..*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

KAMAND DUVET

**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With the amendment of Feb. 5, 2003, claims 8 and 10 were in allowable condition. Called the applicant to further amend the independent claims 1, 7 and 9 to include the limitation of claim 5 in consistent with the allowable claims 8 and 10, to overcome the applied prior art. A supplemental amendment attached. .